

APPLICATION NO.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FILING DATE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

UNME-0118-1 9066

 10/074,009
 02/14/2002
 Samuel H. Slishman
 UNME-0118-1
 9066

 22208
 7590
 07/13/2004
 EXAMINER

 ROBERTS ABOKHAIR & MARDULA
 MENDEZ, MANUEL A

 SUITE 1000
 ART UNIT
 PAPER NUMBER

 11800 SUNRISE VALLEY DRIVE
 ART UNIT
 PAPER NUMBER

 RESTON, VA 20191
 3763

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	•	X1
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/074,009	SLISHMAN, SAMUEL H.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Manuel Mendez	3763
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tte, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		·
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-7.28-31,34 and 37-45 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1. 4-7. 28-31, 34, 37-45 are subject	rawn from consideration.	on requirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the copies of the copies of the priority document of the copies of t	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/074,009

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 4-7, and 28-31, drawn to a syringe, classified in class 604, subclass 187.
- II. Claims 34, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, and 43-45, drawn to a kit comprising a syringe, classified in class 206, subclass 364.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the group II discloses a kit designed for packaging and marketing a product, which in this particular case is a syringe. Accordingly, based on the above observation, a "kit containing a syringe" as disclosed in group II and a "syringe" as disclosed in group I, have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,009

Art Unit: 3763

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: figures 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3763

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel Mendez whose telephone number is 703-308-2221. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manuel Mendez Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3763